2012-2015 Camdenton R-III Strategic Planning Template Building Name: Lake Career & Technical Center (LCTC) – Annual Report to the Board of Education Year: Fall 2012 Note: The most recent data available is utilized for the report.

Progress Meet the district performance goal on local common power standard assessment (District
Meet the district performance goal on local

2012-2015 Camdenton R-III Strategic Planning Template Building Name: Lake Career & Technical Center (LCTC) – Annual Report to the Board of Education Year: Fall 2012 Note: The most recent data available is utilized for the report.

	with their expressed interests at a minimum of	experiences) and social studies, creating		
Goal Not Met.	education, or entering the military consistent	(including conservation and real world		
Follow-Up Data 2008-09: 80%	LCTC to related employment, continuing	specifically in disciplines such as science		
	assist students in making the transition from	assignments in all subject areas,	٠	
Follow-Up Data 2009-10: 91% Goal Met.	related career and technical program that will	School District will develop meaningful		
	guidance information in order to select a	<ol><li>The faculty of the Camdenton R-III</li></ol>		
Goal Met.	to attending LCTC, students will receive career	expectations for all students.		(
Follow-Up Data 2010-11: 92.1%	2010-11 Progress Measures: Each year, prior		•	(Engagement)
	Follow-Up:	1. The faculty of the Camdenton R-III	m	Action Stens
	credit meeting.		-	
	was discussed at the October embedded			
	not yet implemented in all classes, but			
	can see their hands-on math tasks. This is	address behavior, safety, and climate.		
	scores, common assessment scores and	building-wide discipline plans that		
	In math students can see their pretest	<ol> <li>Individual school buildings will create</li> </ol>		
		feedback.		
,	instructor has a place to include comments.	opportunities for meaningful student		
	write a student reflection and the English		<del></del>	
	earned. The student has an area in which to	3. The faculty of the Camdenton R-III		
	(CLE)/Power Standards addressed, and grade	template over time.		-
	assignment, Course Level Expectations	student self-monitoring on scoring	· .	
	Checklist. The checklist includes the due date,			
	track their own progress using a Portfolio	2. The faculty of the Camdenton R-III		
13 school year.	Communication Arts students monitor and	academic goal creation.		
No data available – Implemented 2012-	Self-Monitoring: 2012-13: Each quarter Embedded Credit	<ol> <li>The faculty of the Camdenton R-III         School District will facilitate student     </li> </ol>		Action Steps (Motivation)
engagement				
Improve student motivation and	improve student motivation and engagement	Improve student motivation and engagement	1 Imp	Strategy 1
of credit (49/53 students). Goal Met.				
ainec				
students). Goal Wet				
obtained .5 units of credit (44/49		>		
90% of Communication Arts students				
Embedded Credit Data 2009-2010:	in any state of the state of th	Control of the Contro		

2012-2015 Camdenton R-III Strategic Planning Template Building Name: Lake Career & Technical Center (LCTC) – Annual Report to the Board of Education Year: Fall 2012 Note: The most recent data available is utilized for the report.

improvement Not Iviage.			
The thirty was thingered and the things			
Pre = 45 74% Post = 44 38%: -1 38% change			
No Reading Skills Class Required			
Seniors 2010			
Improvement Made.			_
Pre - 28.26%; Post - 40.58%; +12.32% change			
No Reading Skills Class Required			
Seniors 2011			
Improvement Not Made.			
Pre - 46.38%; Post - 39.29%; -7.09% change			
No Reading Skills Class Required		•	
Seniors 2012			
Reading Skills			
Improvement Made.			
Pre - 36,43%; Post - 44.36%; +7.93% change			
Ready for English Comp I			
Seniors 2010			
Improvement Made.			
Pre - 18.84%; Post - 31.16%; +12.32% change	students' literacy skills.	non-fiction.	
Ready for English Comp I	COMPASS data will be utilized to measure		
Seniors 2011		3. Continue emphasis on consistent	
Improvement Made.	implementation in CTE programs.		
Pre - 34.06%; Post - 41.96%; +7.9% change	writing, and verbal communication skills	<ol><li>Continue MRI at middle and secondary</li></ol>	
Ready for English Comp I	Development for all staff to address reading,	literacy.	
Seniors 2012	embedded credit as well as Professional	<ol> <li>Implement strategies to improve early</li> </ol>	
Writing Skills	Continuation of English/Language Arts		(Literacy)
COMPASS Pre/Post Data:	Literacy:	L The faculty of the Camdenton R-III School District	Action Steps
improve instructional strategies	Improve instructional strategies	2 Improve instructional strategies	Strategy 2
Goal Not Met.			
Attendance Data 2009-10: 92.3%	set by the State).	and student engagement.	
Goal Not Met.	maintaining an attendance rate of 95.1% (as	performance in comprehension, writing,	
Attendance Data 2010-11: 92.9%	within the technical program as exhibited by:	world issues) as a vehicle to improve	
Goal Not Wiet.	year, students will demonstrate responsibility	reading and writing to address real	
Attendance Data 2011-12: 92.8%	<b>2011-12 &amp; 2012-13</b> Progress Measures: Each	contemporary topics and non-fiction	
	Attendance:	utilizing authentic literacy (use of	
		incorporate relevant material and	
	88.7% placement rate (as set by the State).	and implementing lessons that	
***			

2012-2015 Camdenton R-III Strategic Planning Template
Building Name: Lake Career & Technical Center (LCTC) — Annual Report to the Board of Education
Year: Fall 2012 Note: The most recent data available is utilized for the report.

Improvement Made. Ready for Tech Math II Pre – 5.8%; Post – 7.14%; +1.34% change	COMPASS data will be utilized to measure students' math skills.	<ol> <li>Improve number sense.</li> <li>Create a deeper understanding of algebraic relationships.</li> <li>Place a greater emphasis on relevant application.</li> </ol>	•	
Math Skills Seniors 2012 Ready for College Algebra	Continuation of mathematics embedded credit as well as Professional Development for all staff to address math usage in CTE programs.	The faculty of the Camdenton R-III School District will implement effective instructional strategies designed to:		(Mathematics)
% of Parents Signed Up 2009-2010: 160/424 ( 38)%.	AAnthomotics.		2	
% of Parents Signed Up 2010-2011: 332/570 (58%).		programming issues.  9. Pre-planning the use of evidence-based strategies to address unique learning needs.		
% of Parents Signed Up 2011-2012: 375/560 (67%).				
Parent Portal Data: % of Parents Signed Up 2012-13: 434/601 (72%) as of 10.4.12.	Parent Involvement:  Distribute and encourage Parent Portal usage.	<ul> <li>students who need this support.</li> <li>Creating buddy learner program.</li> <li>Meeting families in their homes and neighborhood.</li> </ul>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- 201
Freshmen – 92.29%	Ethics" category. This is a Power Standard common throughout LCTC and is extracted utilizing Pulse.			
Adults – 87.4% Seniors – 91.19% Juniors – 90.56% Sophomores – 90.93%	Interpersonal Skills. The instructor reviews and makes additional comments and/or adjustments. The grade is coded into the student management system under the "Work		· .	
Work Ethics Scoring Guide 2012-13 1st Quarter % of students who scored 80% or higher:	2012-13: Each quarter students self-assess using a Work Ethics Scoring Guide. Categories include: Attendance, Time Management, Ethical Behavior, Personal Responsibility, and	Action steps: The faculty of the Camdenton R-III School District will address the needs of unique student demographic groups by:  1. Focusing on developing background		Action Steps (Unique Programming)

2012-2015 Camdenton R-III Strategic Planning Template
Building Name: Lake Career & Technical Center (LCTC) – Annual Report to the Board of Education
Year: Fall 2012 Note: The most recent data available is utilized for the report.

1 <sup>st</sup> semester: 67% (24/36). Goal Not Met.		identified as potential drop-outs.	
LEAD — Success Tutorials 2009-10 data:		<ol> <li>create and implement an ongoing monitoring program for students</li> </ol>	
2" semester: 87% (116/133). <b>Goal Met.</b>			
1 <sup>st</sup> semester: 86% (64/74). <b>Goal Met.</b>		program for students meeting common	
LEAD — Success Tutorials 2010-11 data:	and/or LCTC.	<ol><li>Create an at-risk summer school</li></ol>	
	academic class(es) at their home high school	will address student needs.	
2"" semester: 81% (87/107). <b>Goal Met.</b>	Tutorials during LEAD time will pass their	graduation to design programming that	
	of AM block students attending Success	for students failing to persist to	
LEAD - Success Tutorials 2011-	2011-12 & 2012-13 Progress Measures: 70%	<ol> <li>Utilize data from the common indicators</li> </ol>	
	LEAD - Success Tutorials:	The District will:	Action Steps
Increase persistence to Graduation.	Increase persistence to Graduation.	3 Increase persistence to Graduation.	Strategy 3
Improvement Made.			
Pre - 8.53%; Post - 17.29%; +8.76% change			
Ready for Tech Math II			
Improvement Made.	<u> </u>		
Pre – 3.1%; Post – 6.77%; +3.67% change			
Ready for College Algebra			
Seniors 2010			
Improvement Made.			
Pre - 7.25%; Post - 7.97%; +.072% change			
Ready for Tech Math II			
Improvement Made.			
Pre - 0.72%; Post - 3.62%; +2.9% change			
Ready for College Algebra			
Seniors 2011			



P O Box 1409 – Camdenton, MO 65020 (573) 346-9260 \* Fax: (573) 346-9272 Gail White, Director gwhite@camdentonschools.org

Career & Technical Education

# PROGRAM EVALUATION AND IMPROVEMENT PROCESS

# **PURPOSE:**

The purpose of program evaluation and improvement is to provide documented information which will aid school officials in the planning and decision-making processes for the utilization of resources in meeting the educational goals and objectives of the school and to assure appropriate student learning experiences, and/or outcomes.

# ANNUAL SCREENING OF PROGRAMS

- A. Each State approved career and technical program at Lake Career & Technical Center will be assessed annually by building administration. Programs that meet four, or more, of the minimum criteria listed immediately below (based upon the previous five years) will be excluded from further review. However, any program not meeting the criteria for advisory committee will automatically be reviewed. Any remaining programs will be studied to determine an appropriate plan of action.
  - 1. A five-year enrollment of 70% of capacity, or greater, based upon the official enrollment counts as reported to the State annually.
  - 2. A five-year placement rate of 87.7%, or greater, based upon annual reports to the State (180-day follow-up).
  - 3. Five-year total includes:
    - Secondary Technical Skills Assessment (effective Spring 2009) pass rate of 61.6% in 2008-09 and 2009-10; 62% in 2010-2011.
    - Postsecondary Technical Skills Assessment (effective Spring 2009) pass rate of 71% in 2008-09; 71.25% in 2009-10; and 71.5% in 2010-2011.
    - Essential Skills 80% of program exiters achieve 80% mastery of all essential skills as determined by the standards and measures report submitted to DESE annually (prior to Spring 2009).
  - 4. Retention

Stayed in Program	Stayed at LCTC
3-Hour Block – 65%	3-Hour Block – 75%
2-Hour Block – 50%	2-Hour Block – 70%

- 5. Evidence of an active program advisory committee.
- B. Programs that are identified in the above screening process as needing immediate review will be evaluated using the following questions.
  - 1. Enrollment Questions
    - a. What are the identifiable reasons for low enrollment?
    - b. What effort has been made and by whom to increase enrollment?

- c. Are there any significant concerns identified in the student evaluation of the program?
- d. What are recent trends in the industry?
- 2. Placement Questions
  - a. What are the reasons students are not placed?
  - b. What efforts are made to help students in placement?
  - c. What are the current and projected labor market conditions that may impact placement?
- 3. Technical Skill Assessment/Essential Skills Questions
  - a. Is the assessment/essential skill listing accurate?
  - b. Are there reporting problems?
  - why are students having difficulty in passing the assessment?
- 4. Retention Questions
  - a. Why are students leaving the program?
  - b. Why are students not returning to LCTC?
- 5. Advisory Committee Questions
  - a. What are the reasons for lack of an active advisory committee?
  - b. What can be done to develop an active advisory committee?

# C. Possible Actions To Be Taken

The screening and program evaluation as described above will be shared with the instructor(s) and the program advisory committee. Recommendations concerning program modification or discontinuance will be made by the administration with input from the advisory committee and instructor(s) to the Board of Education.

- 1. <u>No Action</u>. The findings of the review indicate that the targeted items are not a cause for modification, or discontinuance.
- 2. <u>Modify program</u> by upgrading curriculum, equipment, staff, recruitment, placement, or other appropriate action(s). A short-term plan of action for program improvement will be developed by the instructor and the program advisory committee.
- 3. <u>Discontinue program</u>. If a recommendation to discontinue a program is made, the administration is responsible, with input from advisory committee, to present to the Board of Education.

# CAREER & TECHNICAL EDUCATION PROGRAM EVALUATION SUMMARY NOVEMBER 2012

	ENROLLMENT	MENT	PLAC	PLACEMENT	TECHNIC	TECHNICAL SKILLS	RETENTION	ENTION - 3 HR Block	RETENTION - 2 HR Block	2 HR Block	ADVISORY COMMITTEE	OMMITTEE
	Standard - 70%	1-70%	MSIP	MSIP - 88.7%	Standard	dard	Standard	dard	Standard	ard	Standard	tard
PROGRAM	Met	Not Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Not Met
	1 Year 5 year 1	Year 5 Year	1 Year 5 year	Year 5 year 1 Year 5 Year	1 Year 5 year		1 Year 5 year	5 year 1 Year 5 Year 1 Year 5 year 1 Year 5 Year	1 Year 5 year 1		1 Year 5 year	1 Year 5 Year
Agriculture/Horticulture	××		×	×	×	X			×	A	×	
Automotive Technology	X		×	×	X	X		×	(A.S.)	×	×	
Collision Repair Technology	×			×	××		×		×	er or	×	
Computer Integration	X			x x		××			×	×	×	
Construction	X		<b>X</b>	×		×	×××		5-0.105 G.250 h	×	×	
Culinary Arts	XXX		×	×	_ x _ x		x x		i i i i	×	×	
Graphics	_ X X			××	X	×			×	×	×	
Health Sciences	×		×	×	X		x ×		×	×	X	
Marine Service Tech Adults	×	X	×	X		XX	N/A		N/A	,	×	
Marine Service Tech Sec.	X			×	XX		×××		×	14 300	×	
Metal Fabrication	×	×		X		X	X	×			×	
Teacher Education	X	X	X X		W/N				N/A	of all to	X	

\*Retention Standard

3-Hour Block - Stayed in Program - 65%

2-Hour Block - Stayed in Program - 50%

Blue = Met Goal Yellow - Did not meet Goal

 Placement - Standard - 88.7%

 Combined
 CHS Only

 2006 - 88.7%
 2006 - 91.7%

 2007 - 87.7%
 2007 - 90.45%

 2008 - 84.3%
 2008 - 87%

 2009 - 78.8%
 2009 - 80%

 2010 - 87.8%
 2010 - 91%

 2011 - 89.5%
 2011 - 92.1%

8

《《《《·································		ì	
			1
		٠.	
	Ζ,		
	ų.		
· 			
		-	: -
. : '	Ŋ	ķ	
÷	줐	ī	
	עיי		
. `	C.	)	2
1.	Ø		
÷	d		Į
	Ö		
4.	Q	٥.	
ď.		ì	÷.
	m	1	
÷	ň	۰ ۱.3	
	ä		
Ċ,	3	Į,	
	5	•	
	ά		
-	=	-	
	Ç,	)	٠.
*	Ф	Ċ	
e,	⊒		÷
	6		٠.
	Ť		
	П	1	
٠.	3	Ē	
	3	Ó	
	$\underline{u}$	_	
:	Ξ		-
	₹	•	
	9		
,	7		-
1	-	h	
	0	1	
٠.	_	ŕ	
	Þ	٠	2
	0	_	
1	2	E	
ď	Ĉ	Š	
1	Ò	1	
1	Ĭ		
	=	•	
٠.	⊒	•	
	Ξ		
•	2		
	7	٠	
٠,	_	_	
	П	1	
- 1	₽	١.	
	듯		
	S.		
-	Ξ		
٠.	ā	ï	٠.
, 1	Ĭ		
. :	$\bar{}$		 1
	×		
	D	•	
1	П	7	
• •	ř	÷	
	٠.		1.
٠.			
	Ċ.		

Students Passed GED	Totals Both Facilities	Camden County Justice Center	Missouri Career Center	Students	Adult Education & Literacy		Students Enrolled	% of Classes Held	Classes Held	Classes Offered	Adult/Community Education   Fall 2005		
24	307		307	Students	#	2005-2006	404	61%	42	59	ո Fall 2005		
	6,103.75	Nev	6,103.75	Hours	Total	2006	434	65%	45	69	Spring 2006		
30	183	New Program October 14, 2008	183	Students	#	2006-2007	360	71%	44	62	Fall 2006		
	4,205.00	ctober 14,	4,205.00	Hours	Total	2007	364	57%	36	63	Spring 2007		
23	240	2008	240	Students Hours	#	2007-2008	316	80%	36	45	Fall 2007 2008	Trimm-serve spirit	
	4,413.25		4,413.25	Hours	Total	2008	302	73%	36	49	Spring 2008		
36	315	45	270	Students	#	2008-2009	402	63%	50	80	Fall 2008 20		
	6,244.00	819.00	5,425.00	Hours	Total	2009	361	67%	48	72	Spring 2009		
20	279	51	228	Students	#	2009-2010	328	54%	43	76	Fall 2009		
	6,607,00	1,104.75	5,502.25	Hours	Total	2010	357	60%	44	73	Spring 2010		
8	231	56	175	Students Hours Students	*	2010-2011	382	64%	46	72	Spring   Spring   Fall 2009   2010   Fall 2010		
	 6,891.00	1,228.25	5,662.75	Hours	Total	-2011	342	71%	42	59	Spring 2011	7	
16	220	30	190	Students	**	2011-2012	300	49%	37	76	Fall 2011		
	 8,360.0	745.50	7,614.5	Hours	Total	2012	340	58%	39	67	2012	2	